United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,498	01/27/2004	Matthew J. Blackford	221P127US01	6261
7590 10/16/2007 IPLM Group, P.A. Post Office Box 18455			EXAMINER	
			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
Minneapolis, MN 55418			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3634	
		-	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,498	BLACKFORD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
antina di Salama di S Salama di Salama di S	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 136(a). In no event, however, may a replay and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1)⊠ :Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 August 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠ :This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,8,11 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,10,12,17-20 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachmentic		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,498

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,7,9,10,17,19,20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson in view of Lantz. Carson shows the claimed device with the exception of the ratcheting load binder having an elongated member with a connecting member. Lantz shows a ratcheting load binder 124 having an elongated member 136 with a connecting member for connecting to an anchor 128 having a loop 130. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Carson to comprise a ratcheting member, elongated member and connecting member, as taught by Lantz, for anchoring his device to the loop 510.

Claims 1-4,7,9,10,17-20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flaherty in view of Lantz. Flaherty shows the claimed device with the exception of the ratchet, anchoring device. Lantz shows an anchoring device comprising a ratcheting load binder 124 having an elongated member 136 with a connecting member connected to an anchor 128 having a loop 130. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3634

invention was made to modify Flaherty to comprise a ratchet anchoring device, as taught by Lantz, for stabilizing his device.

Claims 2,12 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson or Flaherty and Lantz, as applied to claims 1,9 and 17 above, and further in view of Orr. Orr shows the use of a hook 63 to facilitate attachment to a loop 37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the strap, as taught by Lantz, with a hook, as taught by Orr, to facilitate releasable attachment to the loops 510 or 130, respectively.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/3/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to the individual references are not persuasive. With respect to the combination of either Carson or Flaherty as modified by Lantz, applicant argues that there is no motivation for the combination. It is noted that Lantz teaches the claimed ratcheting and elongation members for applicant's disclosed purpose, thus Lantz is an analogous art and it is deemed proper for one of ordinary skill to appreciate the teachings of analogous arts to resolve the differences at hand, furthermore, KSR forecloses the argument that a SPECIFIC teaching, suggestion, or motivation is required to support a finding of obviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,498

Art Unit: 3634

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,498

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634 Page 5

ACS

571-272-1000.